## Extinction Homework Solutions

1. Derive the coefficient of variation in equation 10 from equations 8 and 9 .

The coefficient of variation of a series of numbers is the ratio of its standard deviation $\sigma$ to its mean $m$. The standard deviation is just the square root of the variance, so $\sigma(t)=\sqrt{v(t)}$. Combining equations 8 and 9 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V=\frac{\sqrt{v(t)}}{m(t)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding $v(t)$ and $m(t)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V=\frac{\sqrt{n_{0} \frac{b+d}{b-d} e^{(b-d) t}\left(e^{(b-d) t}-1\right)}}{n_{0} e^{(b-d) t}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e^{(b-d) t}$ is large, $e^{(b-d) t}-1 \approx e^{(b-d) t}$, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V \approx \frac{\sqrt{n_{0} \frac{b+d}{b-d} e^{(b-d) t}\left(e^{(b-d) t}\right)}}{n_{0} e^{(b-d) t}}=\frac{\sqrt{n_{0} \frac{b+d}{b-d}\left[e^{(b-d) t}\right]^{2}}}{n_{0} e^{(b-d) t}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By distribution (rule: $\sqrt{a b} \sqrt{a} \sqrt{b}$ ), we can rewrite this equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V \approx \frac{\sqrt{n_{0}} \sqrt{\frac{b+d}{b-d}} e^{(b-d) t}}{n_{0} e^{(b-d) t}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We cancel the term $e^{(b-d) t}$ appearing in both the numerator and denominator, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V \approx \frac{\sqrt{n_{0}} \sqrt{\frac{b+d}{b-d}}}{n_{0}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $\sqrt{a} / a=a^{1 / 2}-a^{1}=a^{-1 / 2}$ we can combine the terms in $n_{0}$, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V \approx \sqrt{\frac{b+d}{b-d}} n_{0}^{-1 / 2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Year $(t)$ | $N_{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | 44 |
| 1960 | 47 |
| 1961 | 46 |
| 1962 | 44 |
| 1963 | 46 |
| 1964 | 45 |
| 1965 | 46 |
| 1966 | 40 |
| 1967 | 39 |
| 1968 | 39 |
| 1969 | 42 |
| 1970 | 39 |
| 1971 | 41 |
| 1972 | 40 |
| 1973 | 33 |

Finally, we recognize that the parameter combination $b-d$ is the same as our concept of the intrinsic rate of increase, designated by $r$. Making this substitution, we have the solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C V \approx \sqrt{\frac{b+d}{r}} n_{0}^{-1 / 2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Estimate the expected time to extinction of the Yellowstone grizzly bear population from 1973, had the park not acted as it did.
The trajectory of the data suggests that if the park had not acted as it did, then the population would have continued to decline. This decline is shown by the data from 1959 to 1973.

Page 13 the reading gives a formula for the mean time to extinction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(t)=\ln \left(n_{0}\right) /\left|r-v_{2} / 2\right|, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

given initial population size $n_{0}$, intrinsic rate of increase $r$, and environmental variance $v_{2}$. Page 15 shows how to estimate $r$ and $v_{2}$ from a data series and states that when applied to the portion of this time series from 1959 to 1973 obtains the estimates $\hat{r}=-0.018$ and $\hat{v}_{2}=0.006$. Inserting these into the equation and using $n_{0}=44$ (the population size in 1944) yields expected years to extinction of $m(t)=\ln (44) /|-0.018-0.006 / 2| \approx 180$.
3. The example above assumes that the dynamics of Yellowstone grizzly bears should be considered in two epochs, before and after the 1973 legislation. However, it is possible that the legislation had no effect at all and that the minimum size of the grizzly bear population reached around that time was merely coincidental with conservation
actions, in which case the data should be analyzed all together. Perform this analysis and estimate the ultimate extinction probability, the probability of extinction in 100 years, and the mean time to extinction, starting with $n_{0}=99$ corresponding to 1997 (the last year in Table 1 of the chapter).

Following the procedure on page 15, we first transform counts $n$ to $x$ by taking natural logarithms, yielding the following transformed data:

| Year $(t)$ | $x_{t}$ | Year $(t)$ | $x_{t}$ | Year $(t)$ | $x_{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | 3.78419 | 1974 | 3.583519 | 1989 | 4.174387 |
| 1960 | 3.850148 | 1975 | 3.526361 | 1990 | 4.304065 |
| 1961 | 3.828641 | 1976 | 3.663562 | 1991 | 4.234107 |
| 1962 | 3.78419 | 1977 | 3.555348 | 1992 | 4.174387 |
| 1963 | 3.828641 | 1978 | 3.526361 | 1993 | 4.043051 |
| 1964 | 3.806662 | 1979 | 3.637586 | 1994 | 4.248495 |
| 1965 | 3.828641 | 1980 | 3.583519 | 1995 | 4.394449 |
| 1966 | 3.688879 | 1981 | 3.610918 | 1996 | 4.59512 |
| 1967 | 3.663562 | 1982 | 3.713572 | 1997 | 4.59512 |
| 1968 | 3.663562 | 1983 | 3.663562 |  |  |
| 1969 | 3.73767 | 1984 | 3.931826 |  |  |
| 1970 | 3.663562 | 1985 | 3.850148 |  |  |
| 1971 | 3.713572 | 1986 | 4.043051 |  |  |
| 1972 | 3.688879 | 1987 | 3.871201 |  |  |
| 1973 | 3.496508 | 1988 | 4.094345 |  |  |

Table 1: Transformed estimates $\left(x_{t}=\ln \left(n_{t}\right)\right)$ of the number of adult female grizzly bears in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, 1959-1997.

Next, we compute growth/decline increments $y_{i}=x_{i+1}-x_{i}$. Note that we "lose" a data point here because there is no $x_{1998}$, which would be required to calculate $y_{1997}$.
Because these data are regularly sampled (each time interval is the same - 1 year), this exercise can be completed by hand. The mean of $y$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}=\frac{1}{38} \sum_{1959}^{1996} y_{i}=0.02134027 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the reading, we know $\bar{y}=r-v_{2} / 2$ giving $r-v_{2} / 2=0.02134027$, which we can rearrange to produce an estimator of $r$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{r}=0.02134027+v_{2} / 2 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sample variance of $y$ is an estimate of $v_{2}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{v}_{2}=\sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{38} \sum_{1959}^{1996}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=0.01320551 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Year $(t)$ | $y_{t}$ | Year $(t)$ | $y_{t}$ | Year $(t)$ | $y_{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | 0.06595797 | 1974 | -0.05715841 | 1989 | 0.14310084 |
| 1960 | -0.02150621 | 1975 | 0.13720112 | 1990 | -0.08338161 |
| 1961 | -0.04445176 | 1976 | -0.10821358 | 1991 | -0.05971923 |
| 1962 | 0.04445176 | 1977 | -0.02898754 | 1992 | -0.13133600 |
| 1963 | -0.02197891 | 1978 | 0.11122564 | 1993 | 0.20544397 |
| 1964 | 0.02197891 | 1979 | -0.05406722 | 1994 | 0.14595391 |
| 1965 | -0.13976194 | 1980 | 0.02739897 | 1995 | 0.20067070 |
| 1966 | -0.02531781 | 1981 | 0.10265415 | 1996 | 0.00000000 |
| 1967 | 0 | 1982 | -0.05001042 |  |  |
| 1968 | 0.07410797 | 1983 | 0.26826399 |  |  |
| 1969 | -0.07410797 | 1984 | -0.08167803 |  |  |
| 1970 | -0.02469261 | 1985 | 0.19290367 |  |  |
| 1971 | 0.05001042 | 1986 | -0.17185026 |  |  |
| 1972 | -0.19237189 | 1987 | 0.22314355 |  |  |
| 1973 | 0.08701138 | 1988 | 0.08004271 |  |  |

Table 2: Growth/decline increments of the number of adult female grizzly bears in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, 1959-1997.

Substituting our estimated value of $v_{2}$ in equation 10 yields $\hat{r}=0.02134027+0.01320551 / 2=$ 0.02794302 .

Starting with $n_{0}=n_{1997}=99$ and substituting into equation 22 from the chapter gives the ultimate probability of extinction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{0}(\infty) & =\exp \left(-2 \ln n_{0}\left(r-v_{2} / 2\right) / v_{2}\right) \\
& =\exp (-2 \ln 99(0.02794302-0.01320551 / 2) / 0.01320551) \\
& \approx 3.5 \times 10^{-7}
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability of extinction at time $t=100$ years, given that it occurs at all, can be calculated from equation 22 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1}(t=100) & =\frac{\ln n_{0}}{\sqrt{2 \pi v_{2} t^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(\ln n_{0}-\left|r-v_{2} / 2\right| t\right)^{2}}{2 v_{2} t}\right) \\
& =\frac{\ln 99}{\sqrt{2 \pi(0.01320551) 100^{3}}} \exp \left(-\frac{(\ln 99-|(0.02794302)-0.01320551 / 2| 100)^{2}}{2(0.01320551)(100)}\right) \\
& =0.001610047 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we want the cumulative conditional extinction probability (i.e., the probability of extinction at $t=1$ or $t=2$ or $t=3 \ldots$, let's call it $P_{100}$ ) we need to sum this up for all values of $t$ between 1 and 100. (This is an approximation that uses the midpoint rule in calculus.)

$$
P_{100}=\sum_{i=1}^{100} p(i) \approx 0.0236
$$

To get the unconditional probability of extinction in 100 years, we mutiply by the ultimate chance of extinction, yielding

Cumulative probability of extinction in 100 years $\approx 0.0236 \times 3.5 \times 10^{-7} \approx 8.3 \times 10^{-9}$

Finally, we calculate the mean time to extinction as in homework problem 2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(t) & =\ln \left(n_{0}\right) /\left|r-v_{2} / 2\right| \\
& =\ln 99 /(0.02794302-0.01320551 / 2) \\
& \approx 215 \text { years }
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Devise a test to determine if the Endangered Species Act and other measures taken around 1973 had a statistically detectable effect on the population dynamics of grizzly bears. Test for effects on both mean and variation in change in population size over time.

The change in population size over time is indicated by the increments $y_{i}$. We can test for a difference in the means using a t-test. In R,

```
> t.test(y[1:15], y[16:38])
    Welch Two Sample t-test
data: y[1:15] and y[16:38]
t = -1.6945, df = 35.846, p-value = 0.09884
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
    -0.12602277 0.01130138
sample estimates:
    mean of x mean of y
-0.01337805 0.04398265
```

This test fails (at the $\alpha=0.05$ level) to reject the null hypothesis that there was no difference in the average growth rate of the population before vs. after 1973.
An F-test can be used to compare the variances of the increments $y$. In R,

```
> var.test(y[1:15], y[16:38])
    F test to compare two variances
data: y[1:15] and y[16:38]
F = 0.36202, num df = 14, denom df = 22, p-value = 0.05403
alternative hypothesis: true ratio of variances is not equal to 1
95 percent confidence interval:
    0.1431775 1.0187074
sample estimates:
ratio of variances
    0.3620216
```

This test also fails (at the $\alpha=0.05$ level) to reject the null hypothesis that there was no difference before vs. after 1973.

